Agenda Item No.: 70.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2006

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT Consent Discussion
SUBJECT: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Wineaux, Inc., dba Vino 100, 7220 Azure Drive, Suite 120, Natalie E. Buster, Dir, Pres, Secy, 50% and Teresa J. Berry, Dir, Treas, 50% - Ward 6 (Ross)
Fiscal Impact No Impact Augmentation Required
Budget Funds Available Dept./Division: Amount:
Funding Source:
PURPOSE/BACKGROUND: Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Package License.
RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations and limited to the conditions of the Special Use Permit with authority for the Directo or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable investigation report or extend the temporary in the event the investigation is not completed.
BACKUP DOCUMENTATION: Map
Motion made by STEVEN D. ROSS to Approve a temporary license, subject to the provisions as recommended and limited to the conditions of the Special Use Permit, with a six-month review and the Director or Designee granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process or extend the temporary in the event the police report is not completed within the six-month temporary period
Passed For: 7; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 0

Minutes:

(Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-None)

TOM AMICK, Attorney, Kummer Kaempfer Bonner Renshaw and Ferrario, appeared representing the applicant.

LOIS TARKANIAN, LAWRENCE WEEKLY, LARRY BROWN, OSCAR B. GOODMAN, GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None);

City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 70.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: OCTOBER 18, 2006

JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, indicated that the applicant met the criteria for consideration of temporary approval. He suggested approval as recommended, along with a sixmonth review. ATTORNEY AMICK concurred with the conditions as recommended.

MR. DiFIORE interjected that the application was submitted with a wine-cordial and liqueur tasting license, which was inadvertently omitted from the subject of the agenda summary page; therefore he asked the City Attorney if the application could be amended to include the wine cordial and liqueur tasting request. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that the subject could not be amended at this time, as the license types are traditionally specifically stated on the agenda; therefore, the application is limited to the license type specified on the agenda. ATTORNEY AMICK indicated he would have no problem returning before the Council regarding the omitted license request.

